Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,582	MCCOLGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application: Al	lowed
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.	(3)	·
(2) Mr. William S. Gottschalk.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23, 24 August 2005	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1, 8		
Prior art documents discussed: Reese et al. (EP 0 326 950); Army Jr. et al. (US 5,133,194)		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Applicants' representative authorized amendments to claims 1, 8 amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a write ecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)